

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 12 2006

Dear USPTO worker:

Please docket this faxed response as received and forward the to Examiner, Krisciunas, Linda Mary.

Thank you

Attn: Examiner Krisciunas,

Re: USSN 09/312,840, Douglas F. Beaven, *Processing management information*, filed 5/14/1999, docket: beaven01.001

Attached is a response to a Final office action in the above application.

Should you have any questions, please contact our office. Thank you

Sincerely,
Kathy Olmsted, clerk

Gordon E. Nelson, Patent Attorney
P.O. Box 782 57 Central Street
Rowley, MA 01969
phone: 978-948-7632
fax: 866-723-0359

JUN 12 2006

PTO/SB/21 (03-03)

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	09/312,740	
	Filing Date	5/14/1999	
	First Named Inventor	Douglas F. Beaven	
	Art Unit	2986	
	Examiner Name	Krisciunas, L	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	13	Attorney Docket Number	beaven01.001

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Remarks		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm or Individual	Gordon E. Nelson #30,093	
Signature	/Gordon E. Nelson/	
Date	June 12, 2006	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: <u>June 12, 2006</u>		
Typed or printed	Gordon E. Nelson	
Signature	/Gordon E. Nelson/	Date: June 12, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

JUN 12 2006

beaven01.001

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(beaven01.001)

5 Applicant: Douglas F. Beaven Paper No.:
 Application No: 09/312,740 Group Art Unit: 3623
 Filed: 5/14/99 Examiner: Krisciunas, Linda Mary
10 Title: *Processing management information*

15 Commissioner for Patents
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to final Office action under 37 C.F.R. 1.116

20 Summary of prosecution

 Applicant filed an RCE in the present examination on 10/19/2005, and in response thereto, Examiner withdrew the finality of the rejection mailed 7/19/05. A non-final Office action followed on 12/13/05. In that Office action, Examiner rejected claims 187, 191, and 192 under 35 U.S.C. 112 because of their use of the terms "observe" and "perceive", which were deemed to be subjective and non-measurable. Claims 187-194, 197-206, and 210 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as obvious over Board (High End Project Managers, Infoworld, Feb. 1, 1993, p., 61-69) in view of Aoyama (Web Based Agile Software Development by Mikio Aoyama, IEEE Software Nov/Dec 1998, pp. 56-65).

30 Examiner graciously granted a telephonic interview in the application on 3/10/06; in a response based on arguments made in the interview and filed 3/15/06, Applicant amended independent claims 187 and 192 to render the relationship between the hierarchies and the representations of the model entities unambiguous and also
35 amended claim 187 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112. Further amendments were made to dependent claims 188-191 to bring them into conformity with amended claim 187.

5 Examiner mailed a final Office action on 4/7/06 in the above application in which she rejected claims 187-194 and 197-210 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by a new reference, U.S. Patent 6,442,557, Buteau et al., *Evaluation of enterprise architectural model including relational database*, filed 2/27/98 (henceforth "Buteau") and objected to dependent Beauregard claims 197 and 210 for failing to limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is amending claim 187 to correct a grammatical error and is otherwise traversing the rejection and the objection.

10 Please amend the claims as follows: